

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,066	10/21/2004	Sadahiko Matsuura	1155-0280PUS1	8570
2292	7590 03/29/2006	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	LEE, RIP A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/512,066	MATSUURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rip A. Lee	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address \cdot \text{Period for Reply}					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/512,066

Art Unit: 1713

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- (i) Group I, claims 1-10, drawn to a first process for producing ethylene/ α -olefin/non-conjugated polyene copolymer.
- (ii) Group II, claim 11, drawn to a second process for producing ethylene/ α -olefin/non-conjugated polyene copolymer.
- (iii) Group III, claims 12-15, drawn to an ethylene/ α -olefin/non-conjugated polyene copolymer.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I is drawn to a process for making copolymer involving processing variables. Group II is drawn to a process for making copolymer by use of a particular catalyst system. Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept because the two inventions are independent of each other. There is no indication that the process of group I uses the catalyst of group II, and there is no indication that the process of group II is performed under the conditions established in the process of group I. Therefore, the inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

Group III is drawn to a generic ethylene/ α -olefin/non-conjugated polyene copolymer exhibiting certain compositional and rheological properties. Groups III and I/II do not relate to a single inventive concept because the two inventions are independent of each other. There is no indication that the polymer of group III is inherently or necessarily derived from any of the processes claimed in Groups I or II. As such, the inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

Art Unit: 1713

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic 3. invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Claims 1-4, and 7-10, drawn to a process for making polymer under conditions specified in the claims.

Claims 5 and 6, drawn to a process for making polymer under different type of condition specified in the claims.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species delineate two different and unrelated types of process conditions for making the inventive ethylene/α-olefin/non-conjugated polyene copolymer.

Art Unit: 1713

5. A telephone call was made to Raymond C. Stewart on March 21, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,066

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The

examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be

reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ral

March 22, 2006

HERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

LUMMOLOGY CENTER 1700